Submission ID: S2EE65FE5

The examiners should not recommend the application be accepted when so much evidence is missing and the SoSshould come to the same conclusion when considering the proposal on merit. PVDP at the last minute cobbled together an RVAA which contains many errors and omissions, poor mapping and photography. It concludes that everything is fine that the RVAA doesnt show the project falls below the threshold of such magnitude that it potentially affects living conditions. I thinks it does. PVDP have not responded to the ExA suggested requirement that there should be a distance of no less than 250m between the edge of any part of the proposed solar array and any residential dwelling house. The panels emit sound as well as being sterile and ugly so this is a bare minimum. The impact on the landscape is huge, this is significant and gone forever whatever PVDP says. I will not be around in 40 years time to hold them to putting it all back the way it was for our great grandchildren and neither will the people causing such destruction to the landscape. Insufficient consideration has been given to Heritage assets - listed buildings, churches, archeological sites and so on. We care about these things and PVDP quite obviously just sees them as getting in the way of their profits, they are "not significant". There are still no definitive plans for the cable route through Eynsham and across the Thames. That could represent an enormous disruption to the people who use the A40 and public transport from Eynsham into Oxford or from and to Caterton. Everything about this scheme is incomplete and ill judged.